

# To Find the Wavelength of Laser Light by Double Slit Diffraction

Date

Double slit is labelled  $0.25 \text{ mm}$

Using a travelling microscope the separation is:

$$(9.175 - 9.205) = -0.030 \text{ cm} \\ (0.30 \text{ mm})$$

Broad crosshairs so difficult to judge exact separation, Estimate  $\Delta s$  to be  $\pm 0.05 \text{ mm}$

10 fringes cover the range  $184 \text{ mm}$  to  $78 \text{ mm}$

$$10w = 106 \text{ mm} \pm 2 \text{ mm} (1.9\%)$$

$$w = 10.6 \text{ mm} \pm 1.9\%$$

$$s = 0.30 \text{ mm} \pm 0.05 \text{ mm} (17\%)$$

$$D = 4.00 \text{ m} \pm 0.01 \text{ m} (0.25\%)$$

$$\lambda = \frac{ws}{D} = 8.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mm} \pm 20\%$$

$$\lambda = \underline{800 \text{ nm} \pm 160 \text{ nm}}$$

The very least the wavelength could be by this method is  $640 \text{ nm}$  which is  $0.08\%$  off the wavelength given in the laser catalogue.

The biggest uncertainty is in the slit separation.

If the value of 0.25 mm given on the slits is used then  $\lambda = 660 \text{ nm} \pm 2\%?$   
( $\pm 10 \text{ nm}$ )

$$s = 0.25 \text{ mm} \pm 0.01 \text{ mm} (4\%)$$

$$w = 10.6 \text{ } \mu\text{m} \pm 2\%$$

$$\Delta = 4 \times 10^3 \text{ mm} \pm 0.25\%$$

$$\lambda = 660 \text{ nm} \pm 6\% (\pm 40 \text{ nm})$$

This is a much more accurate result.

Perhaps the slit separation has been calculated using this method with known laser wavelength and written on the slits?

---